# **Evaluation of Mobility and Handling Training for Personal Support Workers**



#### **PURPOSE & SCOPE**

## **Evaluation of Mobility and Handling Training for Personal Support Workers**

This framework is designed to assist you in evaluating your mobility and handling training for personal support workers (PSWs)<sub>1</sub>, with specific reference to sit-to-stand training (although the principles apply to other core skills). It is assumed that this training is part of a broader safe mobility program.

## **Resource Development**

This resource was developed with the engagement of the CRE-MSD/PSHSA Client/Patient Handling Community of Practice (CoP), composed of over 800 healthcare providers from across the healthcare continuum. It is informed by a literature review, evaluation of training materials from CoP members, workshops with a multi-professional panel and CoP feedback.

#### **CARE PHILOSOPHY**

### **Restorative Approach**

This evaluative framework and accompanying materials support a restorative approach as the best practice in providing care<sub>2</sub>. Engaging a client<sub>3</sub> to the greatest possible extent in their own mobility and daily activities promotes the maintenance and restoration of function, improves self-rated health, improves confidence and well-being, and decreases needs for ongoing care. Conversely, care not focused on assisting individuals to improve their functioning inadvertently contributes to the disablement process.

Restorative care may initially take a little longer than care in which the care recipient adopts a more passive, dependent role, but the extra time is well spent as this client-centred approach improves client and care worker well-being and satisfaction and reduces clients' and caregivers' risk of injury. In the longer-term, this approach has been shown to be more time-efficient than non-restorative care provision.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

This framework is designed to assist you in evaluating your sit-to-stand training. It is divided into two sections: **CONTENT** and **PRESENTATION AND APPROACH**.

- 1. Consider how well each item in the framework is addressed in your existing training. Record your assessment using the tick boxes.
- 2. After considering how well each item is addressed, use the space below to record any additional observations, and your plans for strengthening your training.

<sup>1</sup> Personal Support Workers are direct care workers who support the client to do what they would do for themselves, if they were physically and cognitively able. Such activities may include personal care, homemaking tasks, personal interaction and some clinically-based activities. Workers with similar roles may be called direct care aides, home health aides, personal care aides, carers, or care aides, among other titles.

<sup>2</sup> Please see the reference list for studies and resources supporting this international best-practice approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'Client' is used throughout this document to refer to the person receiving care.

cc	NTEN	IT	Covered Well Partially Covered To Add	Notes
Ca	regiver	Knowledge		
Coi	e princ	ciples & knowledge – human movement <sub>4</sub>		
•	Postur	re		
	$\Rightarrow$	What is good posture (neutral positions of back, neck, legs, arms & wrists); importance of symmetry		
	$\Rightarrow$	Gravity: centre of mass/gravity, line of gravity		
	$\Rightarrow$	Postural balance: line of gravity vs. base of support		
•	_	t bearing status₅ (full, weight bearing as tolerated, partial, uch, non-weight bearing)		
•	Anato	my		
	$\Rightarrow$	Basic anatomy of the back & joints (visual) – bones, joints, ligaments, muscles		
	$\Rightarrow$	Function of spine; parts of back		
	$\Rightarrow$	Natural cervical, thoracic, lumbar curves (vs. diminished/exaggerated)		
•	Injury	prevention: A positive and proactive approach		
	$\Rightarrow$	The role of good posture in injury prevention		
	$\Rightarrow$	Good body mechanics		
	$\Rightarrow$	Use of leverage (hands-on demonstration recommended)		
	$\Rightarrow$	Acute vs. cumulative injury; consider frequency		
	$\Rightarrow$	Risks: strained ligaments, muscle fatigue, joint & disk degeneration		
Coi	e princ	ciples - sit-to-stand transfers		
•	Norma	al movement patterns (demonstration recommended)		
•	Optim	al start position for success: edge sitting (visual advised)		
•	Benefits of sit-to-stand transfers for client (rationale for activity)			
	$\Rightarrow$	Physical and physiological benefits		
	$\Rightarrow$	End goals		
	$\Rightarrow$	Current & potential abilities of client		

<sup>4</sup> If training exists as part of a larger safe mobility program, training participants should already have been exposed to this content. Ideally, these principles will be referenced, and a brief refresher provided as part of each training module. These principles should be presented within the context of and with application to sit-to-stand transfers. 5This categorization drawn from Reference #6.

						<b>=</b>	vered		
CC	CONTENT				Covered Well	Partially Covered To Add	Notes		
Ca	regive	r Knowl	edge						
Со	re Prin	ciples -	Choosing a Moveme	nt Strategy					
•	Discus	ssion of	Balanced Decision M	aking – the need to ba	lance:				
	$\Rightarrow$	Client	goals, preferences a	nd dignity of risk					
	$\Rightarrow$	Worke	ers' right to be safe, i	ncluding relevant legisl	ation				
•	Clinica	al order	of preference in cho	osing movement strate	gy <sub>6</sub>				
•	these	principl		ed in the care plan con improvement, deterior					
Be	fore th	ne Move	:						
Со	nsider	Current	Abilities and Charac	teristics of Each Perso	n				
•	ote: thi	•	iffer from time of ass	sessment and developn	nent of				
•	Client	to be a	ssisted						
	$\Rightarrow$	Predic	tability of behaviour	S					
	$\Rightarrow$	Cognit	rive capacity						
	$\Rightarrow$	Comm	nunication						
	$\Rightarrow$	Physic	al capabilities, includ	ling endurance					
	$\Rightarrow$	Physic	al characteristics						
		•	Risk considerations physical discomfort	: Cause and consequen	ces of				
		•	Risks for psycholog space)	ical discomfort (e.g. pe	rsonal				
•	Careg	iver							
	$\Rightarrow$	Capab	ilities & training						
	$\Rightarrow$	Affect	(calm, confidence, n	ot rushed)					
	$\Rightarrow$	Risk co	onsiderations:						
		•	Cause and consequ	ences of physical disco	mfort				
		•	Risks for psycholog space)	ical discomfort (e.g. pe	rsonal				

<sup>6</sup> Some organizations may have existing decision trees to support the selection of movement strategies. One excellent resource for this is Reference #7.

CONTENT			Covered Well Partially Covered To Add	Notes		
Ве	Before the Move					
Sel	ection	of approach for transfer with reference to the care plan				
•	Need for client agreement and permission at each visit					
•	have c	standing that the status of the client or environment may hanged since the care plan was developed, and the bed approach may no longer be appropriate (or may not propriate today)				
Phy	ysical p	reparation of Environment, Equipment and People				
•	Enviro	nment:				
	$\Rightarrow$	Clear path, privacy if necessary				
•	Equipr	nent:				
	$\Rightarrow$	Mobility equipment within reach				
	$\Rightarrow$	Safe, clean, suitable, ready for transfer				
	$\Rightarrow$	Caregiver trained in use				
	$\Rightarrow$	Client comfortable in use				
•	Client	to be assisted:				
	$\Rightarrow$	Has any required sensory aides (glasses, hearing aides, etc.)				
	$\Rightarrow$	Wearing appropriate footwear				
	$\Rightarrow$	Clothes positioned to avoid catching during transfer				
	$\Rightarrow$	Plan to manage IV attachments, tubing, drains, catheter bag				
•	Caregi	ver:				
	$\Rightarrow$	Posture & positioning to enable good body mechanics				

CONTENT	Covered Well Partially Covered To Add	Notes			
The Move					
Communication with client to complete the task					
Caregiver within client's visual field when communicating					
Encourage client to do as much as possible					
Clear and appropriate communication (provide examples)					
⇒ Simple, positive action words					
• Ready, steady, stand; not 1, 2, 3					
Client-caregiver contact					
Purpose of physical contacts					
Support without physical or psychological discomfort					
Movement techniques for each level of assistance <sub>7</sub>					
Techniques recommended					
Techniques cautioned against, and why					
Completion					
Client safe and ready for next activity					
<ul> <li>Confirm that client feels stable 'finds their balance' before disengaging (positive wording – avoid suggesting symptoms)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Ensure that client has or can reach any necessary aids for next activity (e.g. walking)</li> </ul>					
Reflection on activity					
Caregiver's reflection:					
$\Rightarrow$ Could the client have done more themselves?					
⇒ Risks/different ways to do it?					
• With client – was it okay? Anything to do differently next time?					
• In retrospect, were other resources needed?					

<sup>7</sup> Many organizations will have existing resources describing preferred techniques and techniques that should be avoided. One excellent resource for this is Reference #7.

CONTENT	Covered Well Partially Covered To Add	Notes
Resources		
<ul> <li>Organization-specific contacts and procedures</li> <li>Who can help with what challenges, and how to contact</li> <li>Gaps in coverage (e.g. weekend, night)</li> <li>Procedures to request additional resources (equipment, referrals)</li> </ul>		
PRESENTATION AND APPROACH	Extensive Moderate To Improve	Notes
Format		
<ul> <li>Communication approaches for a range of learning styles</li> <li>Plain-language text</li> <li>Images/videos/demonstration</li> <li>Physical practice</li> <li>Case studies</li> </ul>		
Educational Approach		
<ul> <li>Are there opportunities for caregivers to:</li> <li>Be exposed to new knowledge</li> <li>Reflect on new knowledge</li> <li>Consider opportunities to change – practices to start, stop and continue</li> <li>Actively try new strategies &amp; techniques</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Evaluation</li> <li>Evaluation of caregivers' knowledge</li> <li>Practical evaluation of caregivers' ability</li> <li>Evaluation of training by caregiver</li> </ul>		

PRESENTATION AND APPROACH	Extensive Moderate To Improve	Notes		
Tone				
<ul> <li>Focus is on how to do job safely and effectively, not on injury risk</li> <li>Considers client and caregiver needs equitably</li> <li>Language is concrete, avoids euphemism</li> </ul>				
ASSESSOR'S SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS				
Strengths of our current training				
Areas for improvement				
Plan for revising training				
Lessons Learned from implementation of revisions (fill this out after testing your revised training)				

## **References for Restorative Care**

- 1. Baker DI, Gottschalk M, Eng C, Weber S, Tinetti ME (2001). The Design and Implementation of a Restorative Care Model for Home Care. Gerontologist, 41(2) 257-63.
- 2. Lumsden K, Ball D (2015) Central West Community Care Access Centre (CCAC) Home Independence Program (HIP), GTA Rehab Network. Obtained from: <a href="http://www.gtarehabnetwork.ca/uploads/File/bpd/2015/">http://www.gtarehabnetwork.ca/uploads/File/bpd/2015/</a> Presentations/RPP6\_Home\_Independence\_Program\_Karyn\_Lumsden\_Daniel\_Ball.pdf
- 3. Glendinning C, and Newbonner E. (2008) The Effectiveness of Home Care Reablement Developing the Evidence Base. Journal of Integrated Care, 16(4):32-39.
- 4. Care Services Efficiency Delivery (2010) Homecare Re-ablement Prospective Longitudinal Study Final Report Summary. Department of Health and the Care Services Efficiency Delivery, UK Available from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/www.csed.dh.gov.uk/asset.cfm?aid=6672
- 5. UK Care Services Efficiency Delivery (2011) Homecare Re-ablement Toolkit. Available from: <a href="https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120907090351/http://www.csed.dh.gov.uk/homeCareReablement/Toolkit/">https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120907090351/http://www.csed.dh.gov.uk/homeCareReablement/Toolkit/</a>
- 6. Weight-Bearing Restrictions. Orthopaedic Specialists of North Carolina. Louisburg, NC. https://orthonc.com/uploads/pdf/Weight-Bearing Restrictions.pdf
- 7. Smith J, editor. The Guide to the Handling of People: A Systems Approach 6th Edition. Teddington: BackCare; 2011. 260 pp.

# Weight Bearing Definitions (adapted from those given by the Orthopedic Surgeons of North Carolina)

Full Weight Bearing (FWB) ⇒ Client may place their full body weight on their legs or arms ⇒ Client should place only as much weight as feels comfortable on Weight Bearing as tolerated (WBAT) their affected leg or arm. Partial Weight Bearing (PWB) ⇒ Client may place some body weight on the affected leg(s). A doctor will decide on the appropriate amount of weight. ⇒ Client may only touch the floor for balance (sensory input only). Toe-touch weight bearing (TTWB) or Touch-Down Weight Bearing Client should not place any body weight on the leg. It may help (TDWB) to imagine that they have an egg under their foot that they must not crush. Non-Weight Bearing (NWB) ⇒ Client must place no weight on their injured leg/arm. Their affected leg must not touch the floor.

Funding for this project was provided by a Seed Grant from CRE-MSD.

